Case 3016

Gladiolites geinitzianus Barrande, 1850 (currently *Retiolites geinitzianus*; Graptolithina): proposed designation of a neotype

D.K. Loydell

Department of Geology, University of Portsmouth, Burnaby Building, Burnaby Road, Portsmouth POI 3QL, U.K.

P. Štorch

Geological Institute, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Rozvojovå 135, Praha 6 Suchdol, 165 00, Czech Republic

Abstract. The purpose of this application is to conserve the Silurian graptolite name *Retiolites geinitzianus* (Barrande, 1850) in its accustomed usage. In 1944 Bouček & Münch designated as lectotype one of Barrande's type specimens, which is too fragmentary to assign with certainty to *Retiolites geinitzianus*. It is proposed that a specimen corresponding with the present usage of *R. geinitzianus* be designated as the neotype.

Keywords. Nomenclature; taxonomy; Graptolithina; Silurian; Retiolites geinitzianus.

1. Barrande (1850) established a new graptolite genus *Gladiolites* (p. 68) and described a new species, *Gladiolites Geinitzianus* (p. 69, pl. 4, figs. 16–33). In a footnote on p. 68, he wrote: 'Si l'affinité entre le nom générique *Gladiolites* et *Gladiolus* désignant une plante, pouvait fair élever quelque objection contre le premier, nous proposerions de lui substituer celui de *Retiolites*'. The substitute name *Retiolites* was used by virtually all subsequent authors, and in 1954 the Commission (Opinion 199) suppressed the name *Gladiolites* in order to conserve *Retiolites*, with *Gladiolites geinitzianus* as its type species.

2. Assignment of a specimen to one of the species of *Retiolites* is difficult unless the specimen is complete. The presence of the proximal end is important for identification purposes, particularly since one of the primary means of distinguishing between *Retiolites* species is by measuring the dorso-ventral width at a specified distance from the proximal end (see Berry & Murphy, 1975, pp. 98–99; Bjerreskov, 1975, pp. 38–39).

3. Bouček & Münch (1944, p. 37) designated as lectotype of *Retiolites geinitzianus* the specimen figured by Barrande, 1850, p. 4, figs. 17–19. We have examined this specimen (L27600 in the National Museum, Prague, from the locality Prague-Vyskočilka). It is a short mesial fragment, with a dorso-ventral width more typical of specimens which would now be assigned to *R. angustidens* Elles & Wood, 1908, but is too small a fragment for confident assignment to this or any other species of *Retiolites*.

4. We have examined the remainder of Barrande's collection in the National Museum, Prague. The only other *Retiolites* specimens present are those that were figured by Barrande (1850) as pl. 4, figs. 16, 20–32; the specimen figured in pl. 4, fig. 33 is missing. Of the specimens present, L27602 (fig. 16) and L30063 (figs. 28–32) would now be assigned to *R. angustidens*; L30059 (figs. 20–23) was recognised by Bouček & Münch (1944, p. 45) to be *Stomatograptus grandis* (Suess, 1851); L30062 (figs. 24–25) is an obliquely preserved distal fragment probably, but by no means certainly, of *R. geinitzianus* as currently understood; and L30064 (figs. 26–27) is a poorly preserved fragment in subscalariform view and of uncertain specific identity. Thus Barrande's collection contains no specimen which can be identified unequivocally as *R. geinitzianus* in the sense of current usage.

5. To select L27602 or L30063 as replacement lectotype of *R. geinitzianus* would result in the nominal species *R. angustidens* Elles & Wood, 1908 (p. 338) becoming a junior synonym of *R. geinitzianus*. To select L30059 as replacement lectotype would result in *Stomatograptus grandis* (Suess, 1851, p. 99) becoming a junior synonym of *R. geinitzianus*. Both *R. angustidens* and *Stomatograptus grandis* have been used consistently and internationally, the latter being a biozonal index species in central Europe (see, for example, Bouček, 1953; Štorch, 1994). To select L30062 or 30064 as replacement lectotype of *R. geinitzianus* would offer no advantage over the existing lectotype since none of these specimens is sufficiently complete to offer a basis for the differentiation of *R. geinitzianus* from the other species of *Retiolites*.

6. Bouček & Münch (1944, pl. 3, figs. 2-4) figured a specimen of R. geinitzianus (L31612 in the National Museum, Prague) from the lower Wenlock of Prague-Vyskočilka, Bohemia, the same locality from which the lectotype selected by Bouček & Münch (see para. 3 above) came.

7. It is desirable that the widely used name *R. geinitzianus* (Barrande, 1850) should continue to be used for this distinctive and widespread species. We therefore propose that specimen L31612, figured by Bouček & Münch (1944) be designated as neotype of *R. geinitzianus*.

8. The International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature is accordingly asked:

- to use its plenary powers to set aside all previous fixations of type specimens for the nominal species *Gladiolites geinitzianus* Barrande, 1850 and to designate as neotype the specimen L31612 in the National Museum, Prague;
- (2) to emend the entry on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology for the name geinitzianus Barrande, 1850, as published in the binomen Gladiolites geinitzianus, to record its establishment on p. 69 (not p. 68) and that it is defined by the neotype designated in (1) above.

References

Barrande, J. 1850. Graptolites de Bohême. vi, 74 pp., 4 pls. Author, Prague.

- Berry, W.B.N. & Murphy, M.A. 1975. Silurian and Devonian graptolites of Central Nevada. University of California Publications in Geological Sciences, 110: 1-109.
- Bjerreskov, M. 1975. Llandoverian and Wenlockian graptolites from Bornholm. Fossils and Strata, 8: 1–94.
- Bouček, B. 1953. Biostratigraphy, development and correlation of the Želkovice and Motol Beds of the Silurian of Bohemia. Shornik Ústředního Ústavu Geologického, 20: 473-484.

268

- Bouček, B. & Münch, A. 1944. Retioliti středoevroského Llandovery a spodního Wenlocku. Rozpravy II. Třídy České Akademie, 53: 1–50.
- Elles, G.L. & Wood, E.M.R. 1908. A monograph of British graptolites, part 7. Palaeontographical Society (Monograph), 273-358.
- Storch, P. 1994. Graptolite biostratigraphy of the Lower Silurian (Llandovery and Wenlock) of Bohemia. *Geological Journal*, **29**: 137–165.
- Suess, E. 1851. Ueber böhmische Graptolithen. Naturwissenschaftliche Abhandlungen, 4(4): 87–134.